Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/647,144	LEE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Callie E. Shosho	1714
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) <u>Callie E. Shosho</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Darleen Stockley</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 May 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1,6		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Ms. Stockley in order to ensure that each of claim 1 and claim 6 recite proper claim language.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. Pox + ttology €. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Callie Shalis		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)